

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,783	01/21/2005	Peter Bassler	264922US0PCT	1964
22850 7590 03/08/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			DAVIS, BRIAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/08/2007	ELECTRONIC	

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/08/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		BASSLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	10/521,783 Examiner	Art Unit			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Brian J. Davis				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	<u>ebruary 2007</u> .				
,	•				
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 11-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28 is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) 11-15,17,19-23,25 and 26 is/are allow 6) Claim(s) 16,18,24 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration. ved.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 1/21/05;4/27/05 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/27/05</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of the claims of Group I, with traverse, is acknowledged.

Applicant argues that no adequate reasons and/or examples have been provided to support a conclusion of patentable distinctiveness between the identified groups and that no burden exists upon the Office in examining the entire application. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the reasons outlined in the original election/restriction requirement. The examiner additional points out, as objective evidence that the inventions of Groups I and II are patentably distinct, that the current USPTO classification system classifies the claims of Group I in class 568 subclasses 699 and 868 and the claim of Group II in class 422 subclasses 129+ and 243+. Classes 568 and 422 are unrelated and there is no special technical feature in the instant invention which relates them. The election/restriction is hereby made FINAL.

#### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602. (Applicant has omitted the required PCT international application number in the line next to the checked box on page 1 of the oath/declaration.)

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, line 11, the phrase "...distillation of the 1,2-propylene oxide formed..." would seem to be incorrect. The examiner respectfully suggests that the proper compound of interest is 1,2-propylene glycol. Appropriate correction is required. The examiner respectfully requests applicant's assistance in correcting any other minor spelling and/or grammatical errors which may be present.

The specification is additionally objected to because it does not contain a section entitled: Brief Description of the Drawings. See MPEP 608.01(f).

Finally, the parentage of the application should appear as the first line after the title. See MPEP 1893.03(c).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16, 18, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The identity of the "key components" is unclear because it is undefined.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-15, 17, 19-23, 25 and 26 are allowed. Claims 16, 18, 24 and 27 would be allowable once the 112 rejection outlined above has been overcome. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest prior art appears to be WO 00/07965 whose US equivalent (US 6,479,680) is used for the purposes of this Office Action. US 6,479,680 teaches a process related to that of the instant invention (see Example 1, column 10, line 24).

At best, it might have been obvious to try the incorporation of a divided wall column into the process of the prior art in order to purify a byproduct glycol, however, an 'obvious to try' standard is impermissible in two situations: 1) where the prior art gives no indication as to which of numerous parameters are critical, or gives no indication as to which of many possible choices is likely to be successful; and 2) where the prior art gives only general guidance with respect to the form of the invention but not how to achieve it new areas of technology or in fields of experimentation which are only seemingly promising. *In re O'Farrell*, 853 F2d 894, 7 USPQ 2d 1673, 1681 (Fed. Cir. 1988). In the instant case, 1) above applies.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,783 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Brian J. Davis March 1, 2007